SOIL BIOLOGY

Changes in Mn availability and soil acidity in *Albic Retisol* limed with dolomite screenings of various sizes and doses: A long-term microfield experiment in the north-western Russia

Andrey Litvinovich^{1,2}, Anton Lavrishchev², Vladimir Bure^{1,3}, Vladimir Miladinović⁴, and Elmira Saljnikov^{4,5}

 ¹Agrophysical Research Institute, Grazhdanskiy pr., 14, Saint Petersburg, 195220, Russian Federation
²Saint Petersburg State Agrarian University, Peterburgskoye shosse, 2,

Saint Petersburg, 196601, Russian Federation

³Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab., 7–9, Saint Petersburg, 199034, Russian Federation

⁴Institute of Soil Science, Teodora Drajzera, 7, Belgrade, 11000, Serbia ⁵Mitscherlich Akademie für Bodenfruchtbarkeit (MITAK), GmbH, 14641, Paulinenaue, Prof.-Mitscherlich-Alle, 1, Germany

Address correspondence and requests for materials to Anton Lavrishchev, av.lavrishchev@yandex.ru

Abstract

Liming of acidic soils is associated with various processes in the soil, including the availability of nutrients for plants. The vector and extent of these changes depend, inter alia, on the type of lime material and the doses used. Particularly, excessive liming can trigger a deficiency of manganese for crops. A long-term microfield experiment (13 test years) was carried out on Albic Retisols reclaimed with the dolomite particles of various sizes as a by-product of stone processing quarries. Ten treatments including various sized dolomite particles and their combinations, as well as traditional limestone flour on the background of NPK fertilizer were studied for the changes in soil acidity indicators (exchangeable acidity, pH; hydrolytic acidity, Hy; total acidity, H_{tot}) and manganese availability. The amount of acidic components passing into the extract of 1N KCl was insufficient to reveal a dependency between the exchangeable acidity and the content of mobile manganese in the soil of most treatments limed with dolomite particles of various sizes. However, the relationship between the content of mobile Mn in soils and the value of hydrolytic acidity was proved by paired linear regressions. Regardless of the dose and size of dolomite particles added, the soil was highly and moderately supplied with plant available manganese during the entire study period.

Keywords: Retisol, dolomite screenings, manganese, hydrolytic acidity, dependency.

Introduction

Manganese (Mn) is an important micronutrient for plant growth and development in metabolic processes in a plant cell. Mn is one of the most abundant metals in soil and comprises about 0.1% of the Earth's crust (Emsley, 2003; Alloway, 2008). In *Albic Retisols* (IUSS, 2014), the concentration of mobile manganese ranges from 0.008 to 0.4 mmol (eq)/100 g of soil. Its amount depends on many factors, including total reserves of Mn in soil, soil acidity, concentration of exchangeable hydrogen, water and air regime of soil (Behera and Shukla, 2014; Rengel, 2015), as well as particle size distribution (Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2010; Alejandro, Höller, Meier, and Peiter, 2020).

The mobility of manganese increases from soils with an alkaline reaction to neutral and further to acidic soils (Lukin, Avramenko, and Melentsova, 2006; Behera and

Citation: Litvinovich, A., Lavrishchev, A., Bure, V., Miladinović, V., and Saljnikov, E. 2023. Changes in Mn availability and soil acidity in *Albic Retisol* limed with dolomite screenings of various sizes and doses: A long-term microfield experiment in the north-western Russia. Bio. Comm. 68(3): 135–144. https://doi.org/10.21638/ spbu03.2023.301

Authors' information: Andrey Litvinovich, Dr. of Sci. in Agricultural Sciences, Professor, orcid.org/0000-0002-4580-1974; Anton Lavrishchev, Dr. of Sci. in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, orcid. org/0000-0003-3086-2608; Vladimir Bure, Dr. of Sci. in Technical Sciences, Professor, orcid.org/0000-0001-7018-4667; Vladimir Miladinović, orcid.org/0000-0001-7394-0173; Elmira Saljnikov, PhD, Principal Research Fellow, orcid.org/0000-0002-6497-2066

Manuscript Editor: Evgeny Abakumov, Department of Applied Ecology, Faculty of Biology, Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Received: February 12, 2023;

Revised: April 12, 2023;

Accepted: May 5, 2023.

Copyright: © 2023 Litvinovich et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the License Agreement with Saint Petersburg State University, which permits to the authors unrestricted distribution, and self-archiving free of charge.

Funding: No funding information provided.

Ethics statement: This paper does not contain any studies involving human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Shukla, 2014; Rengel, 2015; Alejandro, Höller, Meier, and Peiter, 2020; Jatav et al., 2020). Its solubility depends on soil redox condition, pH, organic matter, clay fraction etc. Diatta et al. (2014) found that among studied four microelements the Mn was the least available to crops in the following order: Zn < Cu < Fe < Mn. The correlation coefficient between pH_{KCl} and logMn for soils of different particle size distribution ranges from 0.10 to 0.35. In the specific conditions of an individual experiment, the closeness of the correlation between pH_{KCl} and logMn is much more significant: R=-0.74-0.80 (Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2002). Solubility of Mn in soils generally decreases with the increasing pH due to adsorption-precipitation, i.e., oxidation-reduction reactions (Haynes and Swift, 1985; Sparks, 2003; Rengel, 2015). Although Mn belongs to the class of low toxicity for soil living organisms (Pronko et al., 2022), an excess of available manganese in the soil can lead to its actual and potential toxicity to plants, while an increase in soil pH due to liming can lead to Mn unavailability to plants (Alejandro, Höller, Meier, and Peiter, 2020; Jatav et al., 2020).

Albic Retisol is an acid soil formed on unconsolidated glacial till under percolation water regime and therefore with high rates of cation leaching (Meng et al., 2019). In particular, after liming, arable *Albic Retisols* quickly lose their basic cations (Litvinovich et al., 2022) and after two years there is a gradual return to the initial pH level (Shilnikov, 1991). To characterize soil acidity, a different set of intensive and extensive indicators is used. Hydrolytic (pH-dependent) acidity is the sum of exchangeable acidity and active acidity due to H⁺ ions, which are released from the pH-dependent positions of the soil absorbing complex. This acidity appears at lower pH values and characterizes the entire possible spectrum of acids present in the soil. It is also determined in the extracts of hydrolytically alkaline salts (Chesworth, 2008).

The dumps of dolomite screenings from stone processing, accumulated near former and existing quarries in Leningrad region, are considered unsuitable for use in agriculture, since the size of these screenings is large and therefore considered to be of low activity. These dolomite particles have different chemical composition, density and porosity, so their dissolution rate and duration of action will vary. The dissolution rate of large dolomite particles during prolonged composting with strongly acidic Albic Retisols was studied in (Litvinovich et al., 2021). They showed that the process of dissolution of large particles of dolomite in the soil affects only the outer layers of the particles. As a result, the particles gradually decrease in volume, and the duration of the ameliorant action increases. The working hypothesis of the study was based on the fact that the fineness of grinding affects the dissolution rate of the ameliorant, and liming in general leads to a deficiency of manganese available to plants (Gupta, Macleod, and Macleod, 1973; Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2002, 2010; Diatta et al., 2014; Ijaz et al., 2021). The tasks of this work were: 1) to reveal the

relationship between soil acidity indicators (pH_{KCl} and Hy) and the content of mobile Mn in *Albic Retisol* in a long-term field experiment; and 2) to assess the ability of soil limed with dolomite particles of various sizes to meet the needs of plants for manganese in a long aftereffect.

Materials and methods

Experimental design and study site

In the microfield experiment, the effect of dolomite screening fractions from the Elizavetino deposit (Gatchinsky District of the Leningrad Region, Fig. 1; N 59°29'33", E29°45′51″) less than 0.25 mm in size (dolomite flour — DF); 0.25-1 in size (D); 1-3 (D) and 3-5 mm (D) and their combinations (Fig. 2) was studied on Albic Retisol (IUSS, 2014). Plots without the use of ameliorant and with the use of standard limestone flour (LF) added at a dose equivalent to the dolomite screenings served as controls. The doses of dolomite were calculated based on the hydrolytic acidity (Hy). The inclusion of treatments with a mixture of dolomite particles of different sizes in a deliberately overestimated doses (3, 4, and 6 Hy, plots No. 7, 8 and 9) was based on the known fact that the fineness of grinding affects the dissolution rate of the ameliorant. It was assumed that the fraction < 0.25 mm, added at a dose of 0.5 Hy, would dissolve immediately after application. The rate of dissolution of 0.25-1 mm fraction will be lower and the fraction 1-3 mm in size will have a reclamation effect at later stages of the experiment (Litvinovich et al., 2022) since particles of lime larger than 1 mm are inert and have a weak reclamation effect (Musil and Pavliček, 2002).

The inclusion of the treatment using an overestimated dose of dolomite particles with a size of 3–5 mm (treatment No. 10) was based on the fact that with an increase in the dose of ameliorant, the effect of the fineness of grinding is levelled. The experiment was initiated in 2011 and included the following treatments:

- 1. Control (NPK)
- 2. NPK + LF (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)
- 3. NPK+D (<0.25 mm, 1 Hy)
- 4. NPK + D (0.25–1 mm, 1 Hy)
- 5. NPK + D (1–3 mm, 1 Hy)
- 6. NPK + D (3–5 mm, 1 Hy)
- NPK+D (<0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25-1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1-3 mm, 2 Hy)
- 8. NPK+D (<0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 3 Hy)
- 9. NPK+D (<0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 05 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 5 Hy)
- 10. NPK + D (3–5 mm, 5 Hy),

Where, NPK fertilizer is $NH_4H_2PO_4 + NH_4NO_3 + KCl$; LF is a limestone flour; 1Hy is a full dose of lime determined by the hydrolytic acidity; D is dolomite.



Fig. 1. Dolomite screening dump site.



Fig. 2. Size partitioning of dolomite screenings form the dump.

BIOLOG

Analytical and crop growing approaches

The methodology of the microfield experiment was as follows: vessels of 40 litres capacity without a bottom were buried into the soil to the depth of 50 cm (Fig. 3). 40 kg of soil, calcified with various fractions of dolomite screening at a full dose of Hy (8.4 t/ha), were placed in the vessels. Crops responsive for liming and with high demand for calcium and magnesium have been grown throughout the experimental years. In 2011 - rapeseed (Brassica napus, L.), in 2012 - vetch and mustard (Vicia and Sinapis alba, L.), in 2013 and 2014 - beans and mustard (Vícia fába and Sinapis alba, L.). Since 2015, acid-tolerant timothy (Phleum pratense, L) has been included in the range of cultivated crops. In 2015, barley (Hordeum vulgare, L) with over-sowing of timothy. In 2016 and 2017, timothy was harvested twice each year, and in 2018 one harvest was carried out. Altogether, 13 experimental phases were performed and analysed. Plants were harvested in the flowering phase. The concentration of plant available manganese in the soil was established after harvesting each crop (13 determinations).

When setting up the experiment, the soil was fertilized with NPK in an amount of 48 g per vessel. In addition, before sowing the plants, NPK was used annually at a rate of 6 g per vessel. The soil exchangeable acidity (pH) in a 1 M KCl solution was determined potentiometrically, and hydrolytic acidity (Hy) was determined with the Kappen method (Kappen, 1929): 0.5 M dm⁻³ Ca-acetate solution adjusted to pH 8.2 was added to the soil in the ratio of 1:2.5. After 1 hour of shaking the suspension was filtrated and followed by titration with 0.1 M dm⁻³ NaOH solution. The Htotal values were calculated from the amount of alkali consumed (0.1 M dm⁻³ NaOH cm³ for 50 g soil). Manganese was extracted from the soil and the pHKCl of the solution was brought to 5.6 units with an ammonium acetate buffer (AAB pH 4.8). Determination of Mn was carried out on a Varian "Spectr AA 240 FS" atomic adsorption spectrophotometer by flame atomization. Hydrolytic acidity (Hy) was determined by the Kappen method using CH3COONa with pH 8.2 (Novitsky et al., 2021). The statistical differences between the treatments were described using the standard deviation, t-test and regression analyses. Empirical processing of the data was carried out according to Bure (2007).



Fig. 3. General view of the microfield experiment.

Results

The gross chemical composition of the soil was given earlier in Litvinovich et al. (2022). The physicochemical characteristics of the soil were: $pH_{KCl} - 4.2$; Hy - 5.6 mmol (eq)/100 g soil; humus -1.76%; particles <1 mm - 21.2%; content of exchangeable Ca and Mg -1.54 and 0.34 mmol (eq)/100 g soil, respectively. Content of plant available manganese -32 mg/kg soil. The high acidity of the studied soils is a hereditary property, mainly associated with the state of waterlogging and the history of fertilization.

The data on the dynamics of pH_{KCl} and Hy for 13 experimental phases are given in Tables 1 and 2. The results show that the effect of dolomite particles <0.25 mm on soil pH_{KCl} and Hy was recorded over 8 experimental years. The return to the initial value of pH_{KCl} and Hy upon liming with dolomite particles 0.25–1 and 1–3 mm in size was established after 8 experimental years, while the effect of 3–5 mm fractions at a dose of 1 Hy on soil acidity indicators was traced for 7 experimental years. Table 3 shows the dynamics of plant available manganese in the soil. In the treatments using only mineral fertilizers, the concentration of mobile Mn changes in an increasing amplitude with a statistically insignificant rate.

Regardless of the treatment with liming, the maximum change in the concentration of Mn was achieved in the year of aftereffect and depended on the size of particles and the dose of dolomite application. Further, an increase in its concentration began. The effect of using particles less than 0.25; 0.25–1 mm; 1–3 and 3–5 mm at a dose of 1 Hy lasted for at least 6–7 experimental phases, and the effect of using mixtures of fractions in an amount corresponding to 3, 4 and 6 Hy doses, lasted for the entire observation period.

Linear models of the content of mobile manganese depending on pH_{KCl} are presented in Table 4. A statistically significant change in the content of mobile manganese with a change in pH_{KCl} value over the entire study period was established for the treatments with LF (limestone flour), dolomite particles of <0.25; 0.25–1 and 1–3 mm in size, as well as a mixture of these fractions at a dose of 3 Hy.

The linear dependences of the mobile manganese on the pH_{KCl} value in the treatments 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 10 imply that for these treatments a statistically significant change in the manganese content with a change in the pH_{KCl} value on average was not observed over the entire interval of the experimental years.

On the contrary, paired linear regressions of the dependence of the mobile Mn on hydrolytic acidity (Hy) revealed a different trend. In this case, only in the treatment using NPK only, a significant statistical relationship between these indicators was not found, while in all other treatments, the dependency of Mn and Hy was significant (Table 5).

Discussion

There are numerous studies showing that liming, in general, leads to a decrease in the mobility of manganese in soils (Gupta, Macleod, and Macleod, 1973; Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2002, 2010; Litvinovich, Kovleva, and Pavlova, 2015; Litvinovich et al., 2021; Ijaz et al., 2021). The variation in the content of manganese can be associated with the level of soil acidity, where 92.4% of the change in the content of manganese available to plants in soils occurs due to the changes in soil pH (Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2010). In our study, the maximum decrease in the mobility of Mn was observed at pH_{KC} value of 6.5–7.2. This level of reaction corresponds to the formation of oxides Mn₂O₃ and MnO in soils with normal moisture, which have low solubility. When moist soils are limed excessively, precipitation occurs at pH_{KCl} value of 8-9 units due to the formation of Mn(OH)₂ and MnCO₃ (Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2010). Moreover, Kessick and Morgan (1975) found that at pH>8, manganese is able to start auto-oxidation which leads to a reduction in mobility. As mentioned earlier, among three oxidation states (Mn(II), Mn(III), and Mn(IV)) crops uptake only the divalent Mn (Mn²⁺) (Fageria, Stone, and Moreira, 2008; Dabkowska-Naskret and Jaworska, 2013; Ijaz et al., 2021). At about neutral pH, oxidation of soluble Mn(II) to hardly soluble Mn(IV) occurs. At the lower pH values the insoluble MnO₂ is reduced to the plant-available Mn²⁺ form through biological or chemical processes due to the presence of protons and electron-carrying reducing agents produced by plant roots, microorganisms, or through organic matter decomposition (Uren, 1981; Di-Ruggiero and Gounot, 1990; Rusin and Ehrlich, 1995).

Vondráčková et al. (2013) found no effect of dolomite and lime application on plant available concentrations of Mn. However, concentrations of acid extractable Mn slightly decreased under dolomite application and greatly decreased under lime application in the studied alluvial soils with the initial soil pH ranging from 6.5 to 7.3 which is substantially higher than the soil studied in our experiment. Under addition of CaCO₃ a chemical sorption of Mn followed by precipitation of MnCO₃ occurs (Bradl, 2004; Otero et al., 2009). Although the study of Vondráčková et al. (2013) lasted for 42 days of incubation experiment, this indicates once more that Mn transformations due to liming are highly sensitive in acid and very acid soils, and at a high soil pH the mobility of Mn is generally low (Adriano, 2001).

One of the objectives of this study was to establish an average (calculated) value of the change in the concentration of mobile Mn in the soil that corresponds to a decrease in pH_{KCl} and an increase in Hy over the entire study interval. Data analysis showed that the dynamics

	T 400000							Test-year						
	Lethent	٢	2	ß	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13
-	Control (NPK)*	3.95a	3.85a	4.10a	4.00a	3.83a	3.60a	3.92a	3.40a	3.76а	3.58a	3.70a	3.70a	3.40a
2	NPK + LF (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	5.32b	4.95b	4.90b	4.70b	4.34b	4.10b	4.44b	4.00b	4.13b	3.95b	4.20b	4.03ab	3.80b
m	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	5.45b	5.12c	5.15c	4.95c	4.58c	4.22c	4.74c	4.10bc	4.19b	3.95b	4.30bc	3.93a	4.10b
4	NPK + D (0.25–1 mm, 1 Hy)	5.13c	5.05bc	5.32d	5.10d	4.80d	4.33d	4.90d	4.00b	4.16b	3.90b	4.40bc	4.10ab	3.80b
Ŋ	NPK + D (1–3 mm, 1 Hy)	4.62d	4.42d	5.00bc	4.85bc	4.40bc	4.15bc	4.58bc	3.90b	4.13b	3.98b	4.30b	4.10ab	3.90b
9	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 1 Hy)	4.60d	4.05e	4.40e	4.45e	4.38e	3.95e	4.26b	3.80cb	4.11b	3.95b	4.10d	3.90ac	3.80b
7	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 2 Hy)	5.82e	5.75f	6.10f	6.00f	5.63f	5.60f	6.10f	5.0d	5.23c	5.08с	5.70e	5.50d	5.50c
œ	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 3 Hy)	5.95e	5.85f	6.30g	6.30g	5.93g	5.80g	6.40f	5.50e	5.80d	5.63d	6.20f	6.00d	5.90cd
6	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 5 Hy)	6.10ef	6.00fg	6.40g	6.40h	6.20h	6.10h	6.63f	5.85f	6.00d	6.08e	6.40g	6.30e	6.10cd
10	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 5 Hy)	5.35b	4.85b	5.70h	5.65i	5.12i	5.20i	5.71f	4.80d	5.00c	5.23c	5.70e	5.40ac	5.40cd
* The le fraction.	etters within a column signify a statistically significant diffe	erence as c	onfirmed	by <i>t</i> -test a	t <i>P</i> < 0.05 k	evel; LF —	limestone	flour; NPI	< — miner	al fertilize	r; Hy — hy	/drolytic a	cidity; D —	dolomite

SO
of
60
-00
5
)e
<u>lo</u>
ш
es,
asi
Чd
tal
len
-in
bei
ě
13
/er
ó
Ŧ
_
≥
idity
acidity
tic acidity
olytic acidity
/drolytic acidity
f hydrolytic acidity
s of hydrolytic acidity
nics of hydrolytic acidity
namics of hydrolytic acidity
Dynamics of hydrolytic acidity
2. Dynamics of hydrolytic acidity
ble 2. Dynamics of hydrolytic acidity

	Tronter							Test-year						
	ILEGUTIETICS	٢	2	m	4	ъ	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13
~	Control (NPK)*	5.42a	5.58a	5.04a	4.52a	5.01a	5.86a	6.48a	7.52a	6.79a	6.77a	6.97a	5.35a	9.91a
2	NPK + LF (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	2.65b	3.23b	3.08b	2.92b	4.35b	4.16b	4.68b	5.32bc	5.31b	5.85bc	5.10b	4.51b	7.37bc
m	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	2.54bc	2.86c	2.76b	2.68bc	3.54c	4.14b	4.10b	5.23b	5.37b	5.32b	5.03bc	5.17a	5.82c
4	NPK + D (0.25–1 mm, 1 Hy)	3.41d	3.09b	2.66b	2.58bc	2.94d	4.70b	4.11b	5.97bc	5.06b	5.80bc	4.74c	4.50b	4.83bc
5	NPK + D (1–3 mm, 1 Hy)	4.45e	4.25d	3.00b	3.03b	3.79с	4.40b	4.81b	5.93bc	5.26b	5.58bc	5.01bc	4.54b	4.59bc
9	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 1 Hy)	5.35a	5.34e	4.72a	3.82d	5.01a	4.70a	6.29a	7.28a	5.88cb	6.07c	5.93d	5.26a	4.63c
7	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25-1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 2 Hy)	2.16bc	2.05f	1.71c	1.30e	1.45e	1.78c	2.03c	3.39d	2.39d	3.11d	2.24e	2.43c	1.85d
80	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 3 Hy)	2.02bc	1.90f	1.50c	1.16e	1.31e	1.55cd	1.63cd	2.56e	1.43e	2.40e	1.49f	1.32d	1.33d
6	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 5 Hy)	1.80с	1.64g	1.28c	1.01e	0.99e	1.28cd	1.54cd	1.86e	1.46e	2.06e	1.26f	1.09d	1.23d
10	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 5 Hy)	4.17e	3.72h	3.08b	2.41 cd	3.26cd	2.75e	3.04e	3.95d	3.55f	2.84d	2.62g	2.36c	2.00d
The le	tters within a column signify a statistically significant diffe	erence as c	onfirmed	bv t-test a	t P < 0.05	evel: LF —	limestone	flour: NP	< — miner	al fertilize	r: Hv — h	vdrolvtic ad	idity: D —	dolomite

	troaters							Test-year						
		1	2	С	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13
-	Control (NPK)*	43.08a	22.68a	26.38a	38.55a	32.17a	30.3a	49.97a	38.86a	21.84a	19.72a	17.02a	19.99abc	23.19a
2	NPK + LF (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	20.78b	8.96bc	17.83b	18.00bd	22.18b	25.1b	40.65b	44.07a	31.70bc	26.95b	20.54bc	20.57abc	30.77bc
m	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	21.92b	8.67bc	16.40b	15.65b	16.54c	25.6b	30.95c	49.98cde	36.03b	28.95b	21.31b	25.57b	28.64ac
4	NPK + D (0.25–1 mm, 1 Hy)	29.01c	9.49c	17.13b	12.44c	14.73c	20.7c	29.00c	44.45ac	34.98b	25.76b	17.47a	21.58ab	30.49bc
ß	NPK + D (1–3 mm, 1 Hy)	36.81da	12.58d	16.55b	19.78bd	19.05bc	24.8b	31.81c	46.17ad	33.31b	25.57b	18.75ab	17.60ac	27.90ab
9	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 1 Hy)	40.94a	17.85e	23.50c	27.00e	25.10b	29.0a	40.14b	46.15ae	27.30ac	24.84ab	18.46ac	15.65c	24.19ab
7	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 2 Hy)	14.87e	7.87bf	13.85de	8.06f	7.91d	11.9d	14.81 de	31.24abg	21.37a	18.01a	10.48de	16.05ac	20.46ad
ø	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 3 Hy)	15.13e	7.19f	14.53d	9.46f	8.77d	14.0d	15.45de	18.84f	11.51d	17.46a	9.29d	8.87d	13.73d
6	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 5 Hy)	15.01e	7.23f	12.48e	9.88cf	7.39d	12.5d	13.66d	11.92f	12.17d	14.88a	10.88de	9.37d	15.14d
10	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 5 Hy)	33.08dc	10.66c	14.68d	12.59c	13.58c	14.8d	21.68de	29.41g	19.45a	16.44a	11.88e	9.66d	14.14d
	LSD	4.03	1.49	1.63	2.82	4.91	3.1	7.59	8.63	5.97	5.73	2.38	6.09	7.24
* The le	tters within a column signify a statistically signi	ificant diffe	rence as co	nfirmed by	' t-test at P	< 0.05 level	l; LF — lime	estone flou	r; NPK — m	nineral ferti	lizer; Hy —	hydrolytic	acidity; D –	- dolomite

Table 3. Dynamics of changes in plant available Mn throughout the experiment, mg/kg

ב acidity; OIJUIC In ullizel, ny ē mineral flour; NPK limestone . I level; LF CU.U 2 * The letters within a column signify a statistically significant difference as confirmed by t-test at μ 141

No.	Treatments	Model equation	b	Significance	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient of determination
1	control (NPK)	$y_{1.1} = -25,6 + 14,7 \cdot x$		Non-significant	0.3	$R^2 = 0.097$
2	NPK + LF (1 Hy)	$y_{2.1} = 74.6 - 11.3 \cdot x$	11.3	Significant	0.056	$R^2 = 0.29$
3	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{3.1} = 81.2 - 12.4 \cdot x$	12.4	Significant	0.03	$R^2 = 0.36$
4	NPK + D (0.25–1 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{4.1} = 70.8 - 10.4 \cdot x$	10.4	Significant	0.046	$R^2 = 0.31$
5	NPK + D (1–3 mm, 1 Hy)	<i>y</i> _{5.1} = 65.7 – 9.35 · x		Non-significant	0.245	$R^2 = 0.12$
6	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{6.1} = 2.28 + 6.15 \cdot x$		Non-significant	0.57	$R^2 = 0.03$
7	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 2 Hy)	<i>y</i> _{7.1} = 87.7 – 12.9 · <i>x</i>	12.9	Significant	0.009	$R^2 = 0.48$
8	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 3 Hy)	$y_{8.1} = 40.1 - 4.6 \cdot x$		Non-significant	0.26	<i>R</i> ² = 0.113
9	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 5 Hy)	$y_{9.1} = 13.6 - 0.31 \cdot x$		Non-significant	0.94	$R^2 = 0.0006$
10	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 5 Hy)	$y_{10.1} = 43.4 - 4.95 \cdot x$		Non-significant	0.47	$R^2 = 0.049$

Table 4. Empirical dependence of the manganese concentration in Albic Retisol on the pH_{KCl} value

N ot es: b = the coefficient of the mean change in pH_{KCI} value; LF — limestone flour; NPK — mineral fertilizer; Hy — hydrolytic acidity; D — do-lomite fraction.

Table 5. Empirical dependence of	of the manganese concentration in Albic l	<i>Retisol</i> on the value of hydrolytic acidity (Hy
----------------------------------	---	---

No.	Treatments	Model equation	b	Significance	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient of determination
1	control (NPK)	<i>y</i> _{1.1} = −39,4 − 1,58 · <i>x</i>		Non-significant	0.47	$R^2 = 0.047$
2	NPK + LF (1 Hy)	$y_{2.1} = 6.4 + 4,19 \cdot x$	4.19	Significant	0.037	$R^2 = 0.338$
3	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{3.1} = -0.34 + 6.1 \cdot x$	6.1	Significant	0.009	$R^2 = 0.48$
4	NPK + D (0.25–1 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{4.1} = -2.4 + 6.2 \cdot x$	6.2	Significant	0.005	$R^2 = 0.53$
5	NPK + D (1–3 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{5.1} = -5.76 + 6.87 \cdot x$	6.87	Significant	0.02	$R^2 = 0.398$
6	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 1 Hy)	$y_{6.1} = 0.83 + 4.97 \cdot x$	4.97	Significant	0.1	$R^2 = 0.225$
7	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 2 Hy)	$y_{7.1} = -3.04 + 8.49 \cdot x$	8.49	Significant	0.0025	$R^2 = 0.58$
8	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 3 Hy)	<i>y</i> _{8.1} = 3.19 + 5.69 · <i>x</i>	5.69	Significant	0.013	<i>R</i> ² = 0.45
9	NPK + D (< 0.25 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (0.25–1 mm, 0.5 Hy) + D (1–3 mm, 5 Hy)	$y_{9.1} = 6.27 - 3.84 \cdot x$	3.84	Significant	0.09	<i>R</i> ² = 0.235
10	NPK + D (3–5 mm, 5 Hy)	$y_{10.1} = -6.39 + 7.68 \cdot x$	7.68	Significant	0.008	$R^2 = 0.49$

N ot es: b = the coefficient of the mean change in pH_{KCI} value; LF — limestone flour; NPK — mineral fertilizer; Hy — hydrolytic acidity; D — do-lomite fraction.

of changes in the content of Mn and the dynamics of pH and Hy values were significantly nonlinear. But the use of paired linear regressions showed statistically significant outputs and made it possible to correctly assess the average variability of manganese content depending on the increase or decrease of other indicators (pH, Hy) over the entire study period. These results imply that the level of significance of the linear dependences of mobile manganese on the pH_{KCl} among treatments implies that the value of exchangeable acidity, which characterizes the amount of acidic components passing into salt ex-

tracts from the constant positions of the soil-absorbing complex (SAC) is insufficient to reveal its interdependence with the content of mobile manganese in most of the treatments. On the contrary, paired linear regressions of the dependence of the mobile Mn on hydrolytic acidity (Hy) showed that the entire spectrum of acidic components present in the soil allows to reveal a relationship between the content of mobile manganese and Hy in all treatments with liming.

Another important issue that was raised at the start of the experiment is whether soil limed with dolomite (especially in deliberately large doses) is capable to meet the needs of plants for manganese. According to the gradation given by Nebolsin and Nebolsina (2002), soils are subdivided into: very rich - 0.15 mmol (eq)/100 gof soil (41 mg/kg); rich - 0.08 mmol (eq)/100 g of soil (21.9 mg/kg); moderately supplied — 0.045 mmol (eq)/100 g of soil (12.3 mg/kg); poor - 0.02 mmol (eq)/100 g of soil (5 mg/kg). In the studied soil the content of plant available manganese compounds in all treatments falls within the range from very rich to medium-rich soils. Of the studied crops, mustard was the most sensitive to the content of manganese in the soil. In our study, the concentration of manganese in the limed plots significantly exceeded the lower limit of 1.6-2.2 mg/kg for this crop (Nebolsin and Nebolsina, 2002). Thus, the threat of manganese deficiency for plants from various biological families grown on soil reclaimed with dolomite particles has not been established.

Conclusions

- The amount of the initial soil components passing into the salt extracts (1*N* KCl) is not enough to reveal the relationship between the exchange acidity and the content of mobile manganese in the majority of the treatments limed with dolomite particles.
- Hydrolytic (pH-dependent) acidity allowed to reveal the relationship between the content of plant available manganese and the value of Hy in the soils of limed treatments.
- Regardless of the dose and size of dolomite particles added, the limed soil belonged to the category of highly and moderately supplied with plant available manganese throughout the entire study period.
- The relationship of Mn available to plants in limed soil requires the closest attention and further study, since due to the very high dynamism of the processes of transformation of manganese and the instability of its compounds of different valence in soils, inept human intervention can create either conditions for the manifestation of the toxicity of Mn, or its sharp deficiency as a nutrient.

References

- Adriano, D. C. 2001. Trace elements in terrestrial environments — biogeochemistry, bioavailability, and risk of metals, 2nd ed.; 866 pp. Springer. New York.
- Alejandro, S., Höller, S., Meier, B., and Peiter, E. 2020. Manganese in Plants: from acquisition to subcellular allocation. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11:300. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2020.00300
- Alloway, B. J. 2008. Micronutrients and crop production: an introduction; pp. 1–40 in B. J. Alloway (ed.), Micronutrient deficiencies in global crop production. Springer. Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6860-7_1
- Behera, S. K. and Shukla, A. K. 2014. Total and extractable manganese and iron in some cultivated acid soils of

India: status, distribution and relationship with some soil properties. *Pedosphere* 24(2):196–208. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1002-0160(14)60006-0

- Bradl, H. B. 2004. Adsorption of heavy metal ions on soils and soils constituents. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 277(1):1–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2004.04.005
- Bure, V. M. 2007. The methodology of statistical analysis of experimental data. 141 pp. St. Petersburg University Press. St. Petersburg.
- Chesworth, W. 2008. Acids, alkalis, bases and pH. In W. Chesworth (ed.), Encyclopedia of Soil Science. Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer. Dordrecht. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3995-9_10
- Dabkowska-Naskret, H. and Jaworska, H. 2013. Manganese mobility in soils under the impact of alkaline dust emission. *Journal of Elementology* 18(3):371–379. https://doi. org/10.5601/jelem.2013.18.3.02
- Diatta, J., Grzebisz, W., Frąckowiak-Pawlak, K., Andrzejewska, A., and Brzykcy, M. 2014. Site-specific evaluation of Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn availability in arable soils. *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture* 101(3):235-242. https://doi.org/10.13080/za.2014.101.030
- Di-Ruggiero, J. and Gounot, A. M. 1990. Microbial manganese reduction mediated by bacterial strains isolated from aquifer sediments. *Microbial Ecology* 20:53–63. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF02543866
- Emsley, J. 2003. Nature's Building Blocks: An A-Z Guide to the Elements. Oxford University Press. Oxford.
- Fageria, N. K., Stone, L. F., and Moreira, A. 2008. Liming and Manganese Influence on Common Bean Yield, Nutrient Uptake, and Changes in Soil Chemical Properties of an Oxisol under No-Tillage System. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 31(10):1723–1735. https://doi. org/10.1080/01904160802324720
- Gupta, U. C., Macleod, J. A., and Macleod, L. B. 1973. Effects of aluminum, manganese, and lime on toxicity symptoms, nutrient composition, and yield of barley grown on a podzol soil. *Plant Soil* 39:413–421. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00014807
- Haynes, R. J. and Swift, R. S. 1985. Effect of soil acidification on the chemical extractability of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu and the growth and micronutrient uptake of highbush blueberry plants. *Plant Soil* 84:201–212. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02143184
- Ijaz, A., Mumtaz, M. Z., Wang, X., Ahmad, M., Saqib, M., Maqbool, H., Zaheer, A., Wang, W., and Mustafa, A. 2021. Insights into Manganese solubilizing Bacillus spp. for improving plant growth and manganese uptake in Maize. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12:719504. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2021.719504
- IUSS Working Group WRB. 2014. World reference base for soil resources 2014; pp. 189 in P. Schad, C. van Huyssteen, E. Micheli (eds), World soil resources reports No. 106. FAO. Rome.
- Jatav, H. S., Sharma, L. D., Saduakhan, R., Singh, S. K., Singh, S., Rajput, V. D., Parihar, M., Jatav, S. S., Jinger, D., Kumar, S., and Sukirtee. 2020. An overview of micronutrients: prospects and implication in crop production. In T. Aftab, K. R. Hakeem (eds), Plant Micronutrients. Springer. Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49856-6_1
- Kappen, H. 1929. Die Bodenazidität. Verlag Von Julius Springer. Berlin.
- Kessick, M.A. and Morgan, J.J. 1975. Mechanism of autoxidation of manganese in aqueous solution. *Environmental Science & Technology* 9(2):157–159. https://doi. org/10.1021/es60100a008
- Litvinovich, A., Pavlova, O., Lavrishchev, A., Bure, B., and Saljnikov, E. 2021. Dynamics of soil pH after utilization

of by-products of industrial rock processing as a calcareous material in acid soils. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 52(2):93–101. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00103624.2020.1849267

- Litvinovich, A., Pavlova, O., Manakov, P., Lavrishchev, A., Bure, V., and Saljnikov, E. 2022. Reclamation properties and fertilizing value of dolostone screenings of various sizes at Albic Retisol in the North-West of Russia. *Geoderma Regional* 28:e00442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. geodrs.2021.e00442
- Litvinovich, A. V., Kovleva, A. O., and Pavlova, O. Yu. 2015. Features of accumulation of the mnganeze and iron by spring wheat plants grown on acidic and limed soddypodzolic soils. *Agrochemistry* 5:61–68. (In Russian)
- Lukin, S. V., Avramenko, P. M., and Melentsova, S. V. 2006. Dynamics of mobile zinc and manganese forms in arable soils of the Belgorod region. *Agrochemistry* 7:5–8. (In Russian)
- Meng, Ch., Tian, D., Zeng, H., Li Zh., Yi Ch., and Niu, Sh. 2019. Global soil acidification impacts on belowground processes. *Environmental Research Letters* 14:074003. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab239c
- Musil, I. and Pavliček, V. 2002. Liming of forest soils: effectiveness of particle size fractions. *Journal of Forest Science* 48:121–129. https://doi.org/10.17221/11864-JFS
- Nebolsin, A. N. and Nebolsina, Z. P. 2010. Liming of soils. 254 pp. St. Petersburg University Press. St. Petersburg. (In Russian)
- Nebolsin, A. N. and Nebolsina, Z. P. 2002. Easily mobile manganese in soddy-podzolic soils and an optimal level of soil reaction; pp. 134–138 in Questions of liming of soils. Agrokonsalt Publ. Moscow. (In Russian)
- Novitsky, M. V., Lavrishchev, A. V., Nazarova, A. V., Shabanov, M. V., Rodicheva, T. V., Melnikov, S. P., Bayeva, N. N., and Kolodka, V. P. 2021. Laboratory and practical classes in soil science. 332 pp. Nauka Publ. St. Petersburg. (In Russian)

- Otero, X. L., Ferreira, T. O., Huerta-Díaz, M. A., Partiti, C. S. M., Souza, J. V., Vidal-Torrado, P., and Macías, F. 2009. Geochemistry of iron and manganese in soils and sediments of a mangrove system, Island of Pai Matos (Cananeia — SP, Brazil). *Geoderma* 148:318–355. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.10.016
- Pronko, V.V., Zhuravlev, D.Y., Yaroshenko, T.M., Klimova, N.F., and Tošić, S. 2022. Poorly soluble and mobile forms of heavy metals in the soils of the Volga steppes. In E. Saljnikov, L. Mueller, A. Lavrishchev, F. Eulenstein (eds), Advances in Understanding Soil Degradation. Innovations in Landscape Research. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85682-3_24
- Rengel, Z. 2015. Availability of Mn, Zn and Fe in the rhizospheres. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 15(2):397–409. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162015005000036
- Rusin, P. and Ehrlich, H. 1995. Developments in mcirobial leaching — mechanisms of manganese solubilization. In Microbial and Eznymatic Bioproducts. Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology. Vol. 52. Springer. Berlin; Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0102314
- Shilnikov, I. A. 1991. Efficiency of repeated liming of sod-podzolic medium loamy soil in field crop rotation. *Agrochemistry* 2:78–83.
- Sparks, D. L. 2003. The chemistry of soil acidity. *Environmental Soil Chemistry* 267–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012656446-4/50009-8
- Uren, N. C. 1981. Chemical reduction of an insoluble higher oxide of manganese by plant roots. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 4:65–71. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168109362901
- Vondráčková, S., Hejcman, M., Tlustoš, P., and Száková, J. 2013. Effect of quick lime and dolomite application on mobility of elements (Cd, Zn, Pb, As, Fe, and Mn) in contaminated soils. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* 22(2):577–589.