

**XXVI INTERNATIONAL  
ECO-CONFERENCE® 2022  
21–23<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER**

# **XII SAFE FOOD**



**PROCEEDINGS**

**NOVI SAD, SERBIA**

**XXVI INTERNATIONAL ECO-CONFERENCE® 2022**

**XII SAFE FOOD**

21<sup>nd</sup> – 23<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2022.

NOVI SAD, SERBIA

**XXVI INTERNATIONAL ECO-CONFERENCE  
XII SAFE FOOD**  
21<sup>nd</sup> – 23<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2022. NOVI SAD, SERBIA

*Publisher*

ECOLOGICAL MOVEMENT OF NOVI SAD  
21102 Novi Sad, str. Cara Lazara 83/1  
Phone: (+381 21) 6372 940  
Mob: (+381 69) 304 73 38  
E-mail: ekopokretns@gmail.com  
www.ekopokret.org.rs

*Editorial Board*

Prof. Dr. Miroslav Malešević, President  
Nikola Aleksić  
Prof. Dr. Desanka Božidarević  
Prof. Dr. Vladan Joldžić  
Prof. Dr. Velibor Spalević  
Prof. Dr. Viktor Zakrevski  
Prof. Dr. Vera Popović

*Project Editor*

Nikola Aleksić

*Copy Editor*

Vesna Karajović

*For the Publisher*

Nikola Aleksić

*Print*

Red Copy, Novi Sad

*Circulation*

100 copies

Publication year: 2022-09-18  
THE AUTORS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE QUALITY  
OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION

**XXVI INTERNATIONAL ECO-CONFERENCE® 2022**

**XII SAFE FOOD**

21<sup>nd</sup> – 23<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2022

NOVI SAD, SERBIA

**SAFE FOOD**

PROCEEDINGS

2022

**Organizer:**



Ecological Movement of Novi Sad

**Co-organizers:**



University  
Novi Sad



Russian State Agrarian University  
– MTAA, Moskow, Russian Federation



International Independent  
Ecological-Politicology  
University in Moscow, RF



Institute for Field  
and Vegetable Crops  
Novi Sad, Serbia



Pasteur Institute  
of Novi Sad, Serbia



Scientific Veterinary  
Institute "Novi Sad"  
Serbia



Legambiente, d' Itali  
(National environmental  
Organisation)

**Patronage:**



Matica srpska,  
Novi Sad

**Host:**



INSTITUTE FOR NATURE CONSERVATION  
OF VOJVODINA PROVINCE

Institute for Nature Conservation  
of Vojvodina Province, Novi Sad, Serbia



Vesna Perišić<sup>1</sup>\*, Vladimir Perišić<sup>2</sup>, Vera Rajčić<sup>1</sup>, Kristina Luković<sup>2</sup>,  
Filip Vukajlović<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Niš, Faculty of Agronomy, Kosančićeva 17,  
37000 Kruševac, Serbia

<sup>2</sup> Center of Small Grains, Save Kovačevića 31, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

<sup>3</sup> University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Science, Radoja Domanovića 12,  
Kragujevac, Serbia

\* Corresponding author: vperisic@kg.ac.rs  
*Original Scientific paper*

## SPINOSAD APPLICATION IN PROCESS OF INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT AGAINST *RHYZOPERTHA DOMINICA* F. IN STORED SMALL GRAINS

### Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate insecticidal activity of Spinosad applied at doses of 0.25, 0.5, and 1.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (ppm) on *Rhyzopertha dominica* in wheat, barley, rye, oats and triticale grains. Mortality was assessed after 7 and 14 days, while impact on progeny production was assessed after 8 weeks. In the lowest dosage after 7 days of exposure, Spinosad application affected mortality ranged from 94.5% (wheat) to 100% (barley). After 14 days, all doses of Spinosad achieved mortality of 100%. All three applied dosages of Spinosad prevented progeny emergence. Damaged grain and dust in Spinosad treated samples were not recorded which represent the most ideal small grain protection. Spinosad has been identified as a natural and promising alternative to stored-grain protectants.

**Key words:** *R. dominica*, small grains, Spinosad, insecticidal activity

### INTRODUCTION

Pest infestation has the great influence on stored grain goods. The lesser grain borer *Rhyzopertha dominica* F. (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) is the major cause of insect damaged kernels (IDK) in wheat. *R. dominica* spends most of its life inside kernels, feeding on its endosperm, which causes damage and changes in grain physicochemical properties. Adult feeding activities produce large amounts of dockage, most of which consists of ovoid granules of undigested endosperm mixed with a finer floury part.

Application of chemical insecticides has a crucial role in the quick and efficient control of this pest, which is followed by the occurrence of undesirable residues in grains and resistance of particular populations of stored-product pests. The aforementioned problems demand the necessity of finding alternative, environmentally friendly solutions, and omit or significantly reduce negative effects of the application of synthetic insecticides. Natural originating insecticides, such as Spinosad, will contribute to solving these problems in the near perspective. Spinosad is a natural product, obtained by fermentation of soil actinomycete, *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* Mertz & Yao. Resistance of *R. dominica* to Spinosad has not yet been found (Andrić et al., 2019).

This is a primary pest which can destroy stored wheat completely. Perišić et al. (2017) were concluded that small grains – wheat, barley, rye, and triticale can be considered as "nutritionally suitable" for *R. dominica* development, but triticale is the most susceptible to attack and suitable for development of *R. dominica*. Based on the aforementioned, it is important to determine is the protection of all stored small grains can be uniform or it must take care of grain species, especially during application of natural originated insecticides and because of covered way of life as specificity of *R. dominica* (life cycle mainly spends in the grain feeding by grain endosperm). Special attention must be direct to the "grain effect", i.e. variation in the pest sensitivity caused by different grain species.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the Center for Small Grains in Kragujevac. The tested adult population of *R. dominica* was reared on the whole wheat kernels under laboratory conditions (temperature  $26\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  and relative humidity – r.h.  $60\pm 5\%$ ). In the experiment 2-4 weeks old adults were used. Five species of small grains were tested: wheat (Vizija variety), barley (Rekord variety), oats (Vranac variety), rye (Raša variety) and triticale (Favorit variety). All varieties originate from the Center of Small Grains Kragujevac, Serbia. Grain samples with the moisture content ranging from 11-12 % were included in the experiment.

For examination of insecticidal efficacy pesticide Laser 240 SC (active substance Spinosad-240g/L;Dow AgroSciences, Austria) was used. Efficacy of applied insecticide on small grains was determined according to methods for evaluation of the biological efficacy of insecticides in storage pests suppression (OEPP/EPPO, 2004a,b). The 0.5 kg lots of each grain samples were weighed on an analytical balance (Mettler 609-B6, Zurich, Switzerland), and placed into jars (1000 ml in volume). Afterward, grain samples were treated with 5 ml of aqueous solutions of Spinosad in amounts of 0.25; 0.5 and 1.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (ppm) of grain. Grain labeled as a control A was treated with 5 ml of distilled water, while grain used as a control B stayed untreated. Firstly, treated grain was manually shaking for 30 seconds and afterward were mixed on a rotary mixer for 10 min.

Plastic vessels (200 ml in volume) were filled with 50 g of treated, as well as untreated grain, and placed in a thermostat chamber with controlled conditions, 26

$\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  temperature and  $60 \pm 5\%$  r. h. After 24 h, 25 adults of *R. dominica* were released into each vessel (except in control B) and the vessel was topped with a cotton cloth and fixed with a rubber band. Four plastic vessels was set up for every variant, i.e. four replications. Insect mortality was determined after 7 and 14 days of contact with treated or untreated grain types. After the last mortality count, both dead and living adults were removed and all vessels were returned to the incubator for 8 additional weeks under the same (described) conditions.

After 8 weeks, progeny emergence/suppression was determined by counting insects in treated and control grain samples. During  $F_1$  generation counting, whole grain, damaged grain, and dockage from each vessel were separated and weighed on an analytical scale (Mettler 609-B6, Zurich, Switzerland). The whole procedure was repeated twice. Recorded data of insecticide efficacy were expressed as percentage mortality with calculated standard error ( $\% \pm \text{SE}$ ). Before analysis, the percentage of mortality was transformed using *arcsine*, while data for the amount of insect-damaged grains and dockage were transformed by a square root. Differences in means for all data were statistically analyzed with one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer (HSD) post hoc test (at  $P = 0.05$ ).

## RESULTS

In the lowest dosage, after 7 days of exposure, Spinosad application affected mortality from 94.5% (wheat) to 100% (barley). The high mortality of *R. dominica* ( $\geq 98\%$ ) with dosages application of 0.5 and 1  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  in all tested grain species was achieved. Spinosad application in the dosage of 1  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  caused 100% of the *R. dominica* mortality, except in triticale where mortality was slightly lower (99.5%). In the present research, Spinosad application caused 100% of *R. dominica* mortality after 14 days of exposure, for every applied rate, in all examined grain species (Table 1).

Table 1. Mortality of *R. dominica* adults ( $\% \pm \text{SE}$ ) after 7 and 14 days of exposure in different grain types treated with Spinosad

Insecticide	Dosage ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	Mortality ( $\% \pm \text{SE}$ ) after exposure in small grains				
		Wheat	Barley	Rye	Oat	Triticale
		After 7 <sup>th</sup> day of exposure				
Spinosad	0.25	94.5 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b*</sup>	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	97.5 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	96.5 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>b</sup>	95.5 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>
	0.5	99.0 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	99.5 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	99.5 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	98.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>
	1.0	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	99.5 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>
Control A	0	2.0 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	1.0 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.0 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	1.0 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	1.0 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>c</sup>
<i>F</i>		719.30	91823	457.78	341.83	266.37
<i>P</i>		< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05



		After 14 <sup>th</sup> day of exposure				
Spinosad	0.25	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>
	0.5	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>
	1.0	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0±0.0 <sup>a</sup>
Control A	0	2.0±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.0±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.0±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.0±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.0±0.1 <sup>b</sup>
<i>F</i>		686458	918203	686458	918203	91203
<i>P</i>		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

\* Within every period of exposure, values across columns marked with same letters do not show a statistical difference; Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test for  $P>0.05$ ;  $df=7.56$ . Control A – untreated, infested grain with *R. dominica*

Ten weeks after the treatment, all three applied dosages of the examined insecticide prevented progeny emergence. The suitability of grain species for *R. dominica* development was varying. The greatest progeny number in control samples was determined in triticale (432.5), followed by rye (177.1) and wheat (128.5), while oats had the lowest suitability (36.4) (Table 2).

Table 2. Average number of the *R. dominica* progeny in grains ( $\pm$ SE) ten weeks after insecticide Spinosad treatment

Insecticide	Dosage (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Progeny number average ( $\pm$ SE) in small grains				
		Wheat	Barley	Rye	Oat	Triticale
Spinosad	0.25	0	0	0	0	0
	0.5	0	0	0	0	0
	1.0	0	0	0	0	0
Control A		128.5±1.0	82.1±0.8	177.1±0.8	36.4±0.3	432.5±0.8
<i>F</i>		31.81	25.12	41.81	20.21	50.89
<i>P</i>		> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05

– Control A – untreated, infested grain with *R. dominica*

The smallest mass of damaged grain was found in infested, untreated oats (0.44 g), while the greatest mass of damaged grains was weighed in triticale (10.26 g). The presence of dockage in all treated samples with Spinosad was not recorded. The highest mass of the dockage was measured in a control sample of triticale infested with *R. dominica* (5.2 g), followed by rye (3.8 g), significantly lower in wheat (2.04 g) and barley (1.05 g), and the smallest in oats (0.27 g) (Table 3.).

Table 3. Mass of damaged grains and the dockage ( $g \pm SE$ ) after sieving of the *R. dominica* progeny from Spinosad treated grains

Insecticide	Dosage, $mg\ kg^{-1}$	Mass of damaged grains and the dockage ( $g \pm SE$ ) in grain types				
		Wheat	Barley	Rye	Oat	Triticale
		Damaged grains				
Spinosad	0.25	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>
	0.5	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>
	1.0	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>
Control A	0	6.83 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>b</sup>	3.04 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	6.85 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.44 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	10.26 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>
<i>F</i>		33.77	14.08	18.04	3.53	23.29
<i>P</i>		> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05
		Dockage				
Spinosad	0.25	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>
	0.5	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>
	1.0	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>
Control A	0	2.04 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.05 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.80 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>b</sup>	5.20 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>
<i>F</i>		29.75	24.35	47.78	10.47	11.04
<i>P</i>		> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05

\* Within every period of exposure, values across columns marked with same letters do not show a statistical difference; Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test for  $P > 0.05$ ;  $df = 7.56$ ;

– Control A – untreated, infested grain with *R. dominica*

## DISCUSSION

In the present research, Spinosad caused 100% mortality of *R. dominica* after 14 days of exposure, for every applied rate, in all examined grain species. Numerous studies determined complete control of *R. dominica* in wheat with Spinosad, after 14 days of exposure (Fang et al., 2002; Vayias et al., 2009; Vayias et al., 2010; Athanassiou et al., 2011; Subramanyam et al., 2012; Nayak and Daghli, 2017).

Athanassiou et al. (2008) recorded that the mortality of *R. dominica* increased with dosage, exposure interval of Spinosad, and temperature. In present study the highest dose was 1 ppm. This dose was recommend for used to discriminate between susceptible and resistant populations for future resistance monitoring programs (Nayak and Daghli, 2017).

Our study combined research on the efficiency of Spinosad on all small grains (wheat, barley, rye, oats, and triticale). By examining the application of Spinosad on different food commodity, Fang et al. (2002) were among the first who found a difference in the efficiency of Spinosad in the control of *R. dominica* on four classes of wheat (hard red winter, hard red spring, soft red winter and durum wheat). During the examination of grain species (wheat, barley, rice, and maize), depending on the efficacy of Spinosad, Athanassiou et al. (2008) and Vajas et al. (2009) revealed that *R. dominica*

mortality was the lowest in maize, after 7 days of exposure. After 7 days of exposure, Chintzoglou et al. (2008) recorder the same lower mortality on maize (80%) treated with 0.025 ppm of a.i. and no differences of Spinosad efficacy in wheat and barley. Listed authors determined no significant differences in mortality of *R. dominica* after 14 days of exposure in comparison with the commodities. Athanassiou and Kavallieratos (2014) investigated the Spinosad efficacy on *R. dominica* in the applied rate of 1 ppm on wheat, barley, rye, and maize and reported that overall mortality ranged from 92.8 to 100 % after 7 days of the exposure. After 14 days of exposure, all adults were dead in all treatments, which is in agreement with our results.

The influence of commodity (wheat, barley, rice, rye, and maize) on the efficiency of spinosad was determined in controlling of *Sitophilus oryzae* L., *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn), *Tribolium confusum* (Jacquelin du Val), *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens), *Plodia interpunctella* (Hubner). Although tested small grain species differed in properties, Spinosad effectiveness in exterminated small grains was not different. According to Athanassiou et al. (2008) the "grain effect" is manifesting at the most in pest species less susceptible to Spinosad, while in *R. dominica*, as very susceptible, differences between different grain species could be masked.

Present study revealed that the examined insecticide prevented progeny emergence. Similar results were reported by Vayas et al. (2009), Athanassiou et al. (2011) and Nayak and Daghli (2017), who draw the same conclusions for Spinosad application in dosages of 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, after 10 weeks of exposure. Athanassiou and Kavallieratos (2014) revealed that offspring emergence was ≤0.4 adults/vial in all tested commodities (wheat, barley, rye, and maize), with total suppressed only in wheat.

Present results show that *R. dominica* progeny production and feeding damage varied considerably depending on the small grain species, so the influence of commodity on the development of this pest was significant. In the present study, the highest progeny was found in untreated triticale, as well as the mass of damaged grains and dockage. The amounts of damaged kernels and dockage were in correlation with the emergence of progeny in untreated, infested samples. Damaged grain and dust in Spinosad treated samples were not recorded which represent the most ideal small grain protection and especially in the world where permitted limit for wheat is 2.5 damaged grain/100 g of total grain.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this laboratory bioassays, Spinosad application was efficient in the suppression of *R. dominica* adults in small grains. All three dosages of examined insecticide were prevented progeny emergence and that is very important parameter for making decision about long protecting potential in stored grains. Untreated grain samples, infested with *R. dominica*, in relation to the other tested samples, showed the biggest change in mass of damage grain and dockage which clearly show a positive aspect of the use of Spinosad.

## REFERENCES

1. Athanassiou, C., Kavallieratos, N., Chintzoglou, G., Peteinatos, G., Boukouvala, M., Petrou, S., Panoussakis, E. (2008): Effect of temperature and commodity on insecticidal efficacy of spinosad dust against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 101 (3): 976-981. DOI: 10.1603/0022-0493(2008)101[976:EOTACO]2.0.CO;2
2. Athanassiou, C., Arthur, F., Kavallieratos, N., Throne, J. (2011): Efficacy of spinosad and methoprene, applied alone or in combination, against six stored-product insect species. *Journal of Pest Science*, 84: 61-67. DOI: 10.1007/s10340-010-0326-1.
3. Athanassiou, C., Kavallieratos, N. (2014): Evaluation of spinetoram and spinosad for control of *Prostephanus truncatus*, *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Sitophilus oryzae*, and *Tribolium confusum* on stored grains under laboratory tests. *Journal of Pest Science*, 87(3): 469-483. DOI 10.1007/s10340-014-0563-9
4. Chintzoglou, G.J., Athanassiou, C.G., Markoglou, A.N., Kavallieratos, N.G. (2008): Influence of commodity on the effect of spinosad dust against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *International Journal of Pest Management*, 54(4): 277–285. DOI: 10.1080/09670870802010849
5. Fang, L., Subramanyam, B., Arthur, F. (2002): Effectiveness of spinosad on four classes of wheat against five stored product insects. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 95: 640–650. DOI: 10.1603/0022-0493-95.3.640
6. Hertlein, B., Thompson, G., Subramanyam, B., Athanassiou, C. (2011): Spinosad: A new natural product for stored grain protection. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 47: 131-146. DOI: 10.1016/j.jspr.2011.01.004
7. OEPP/EPPO (2004a): Admixture of plant protection products to stored plant products to control insects and mites, PP 1/203(1). In: *Efficacy Evaluation of Insecticides & Acaricides EPPO Standards PP1, vol-3*. European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization, Paris, France, p. 217-219.
8. OEPP/EPPO (2004b): Laboratory testing of plant protection products against insect and mite pests of stored plant products, PP 1/204(1). In: *Efficacy Evaluation of Insecticides & Acaricides EPPO Standards PP1, second ed., vol.-3*. European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization, Paris, France, p. 220-223.
9. Perišić, V., Perišić, V., Vukajlović, F., Pešić, S., Predojević, D., Đekić, V., Luković, K., 2017. Feeding preferences and progeny production of *Rhyzopertha dominica* f. (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in small grains. *Biol. Nyssana*, 9(1): 55-61. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1470852>.
10. Nayak, M., Daghli, G. (2017): Base-line susceptibility of field populations of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) to spinosad in Australia. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 70: 1-6. DOI: 10.1016/j.jspr.2016.10.005
11. Subramanyam, B., Hartzler, M., Boina, R.D. (2012): Performance of pre-commercial release formulations of spinosad against five stored-product insect species on four stored commodities. *Journal of Pest Science*, 85: 331–339. DOI: 10.1007/s10340-011-0395-9
12. Vayias, B., Athanassiou, C., Milonas, D.N., Mavrotas C. (2009): Activity of spinosad against three stored-product beetle species on four grain commodities. *Crop Protection*, 28: 561–566. DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2009.01.006
13. Vayias, B., Athanassiou, c, Milonas, D.N., Mavrotas C. (2010): Persistence and efficacy of spinosad on wheat, maize and barley grains against four major stored product pests. *Crop Protection*, 29(5): 496-505. DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2009.12.003

**Весна Перишић<sup>1\*</sup>, Владимир Перишић<sup>2</sup>, Вера Рајичић<sup>1</sup>,  
Кристина Луковић<sup>2</sup>, Филип Вукајловић<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> University of Niš, Faculty of Agronomy, Kosančićeva 17,  
37000 Kruševac, Serbia

<sup>2</sup> Center of Small Grains, Save Kovačevića 31, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

<sup>3</sup> University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Science, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000  
Kragujevac, Serbia

\* Corresponding author: vperisic@kg.ac.rs

*Оригинални научни рад*

## **ПРИМЕНА *SPINOSAD-a* У ИНТЕГРАЛНОЈ ЗАШТИТИ СТРНИХ ЖИТА ОД *RHYZOPERTHA DOMINICA* F.**

### **Сажетак**

Истраживање је спроведено у циљу оцене инсектицидне активности Спиносада, примењеног у дозама од 0,25, 0,5, и 1,0 мг кг<sup>-1</sup> (ппм) на *Рхузопертха доминица* у зрну пшенице, јечма, ражи, овса и тритикалеа. Смртност је процењена након 7 и 14 дана, док је утицај на стварање потомства оцењен након 8 недеља. При најнижој дози након 7 дана излагања, примена Спиносада је изазвала смртност од 94.5% (пшеница) до 100% (јечам). Након 14 дана, све дозе Спиносада оствариле су смртност од 100%. Све три примењене дозе Спиносада спречиле су појаву потомства. У узорцима третираним Спиносадом није забележена појава оштећених зрна и прашине што представља савршену заштиту зрна стрних жита. За Спиносад је утврђено да представља природну и одговарајућу алтернативу синтетичким инсектицидима стрних жита.

**Кључне речи:** *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *стрна жита*, *Спиносад*, *инсектицидна активност*

CIP – Каталогизација у публикацији  
Библиотеке Матице српске, Нови Сад

502:711.4(082)

**INTERNATIONAL Eco-Conference (26 ; 2022 ; Novi Sad)**

Nikola Aleksić]. – Novi Sad : Ecological Movement of Novi Sad, 2022  
(Novi Sad : Red copy). – 436 str. : ilustr. ; 23 cm

Tiraž 100. – Bibliografija uz svaki rad. – Rezime na srp. jeziku uz svaki rad.  
– Registar.

ISBN 978-86-83177-59-2

а) Животна средина – Заштита – Градови – Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 74631433