

## ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF THE MINERAL FERTILIZER APPLICATION IN CEREAL PRODUCTION

Marija GAVRILOVI<sup>1\*</sup>, Aleksandra DIMITRIJEVI<sup>2</sup>, Zoran  
MILEUSNI<sup>2</sup>, Rajko MIODRAGOVI<sup>2</sup>, Milan UGRINOVI<sup>1</sup>, Radiša  
OR EVI<sup>1</sup>, Dejan CVIKI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Vegetable Crops, Kara or eva 71, SmederevskaPalanka, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>University of Belgrade - Faculty of Agriculture, Nemanjina 6, Belgrade, Serbia

\*Corresponding author: mgavrilovic@institut-palanka.rs

### ABSTRACT

Cereal grains have represented the principal component of the human diet for thousands of years. Modern cereal production cannot be imagined without the use of mineral fertilizers, particularly in terms of better utilization of biological potential of the yield of growing plants. The aim of this study was to evaluate the energetic efficiency of wheat and barley production, with special reference to the share of the use of mineral fertilizers in total energy consumption. Input data and yield of wheat and barley fields were collected in the experimental trials in Serbia. Results showed that total energy inputs of wheat and barley fields were 22178.04 and 15921.16 MJ·ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Total energy outputs for wheat and barley fields were 80037.83 and 104496.08 MJ·ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The results obtained indicate that mineral fertilizers claim a share of the total energy consumption in cereal production ranging from 49.19% in barley to 52.01% in wheat. Specific energy input, energy output–input ratio (energy use efficiency), energy productivity and net energy gain were 5.13 MJ·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 3.61, 0.19 kg·MJ<sup>-1</sup> and 57859.79 MJ·ha<sup>-1</sup> in wheat system and 2.75 MJ·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 6.56, 0.36 kg·MJ<sup>-1</sup> and 88574.92 MJ·ha<sup>-1</sup> in barley system, respectively. According to the results, it seems that barley production is more efficient from different aspects of energy consumption compared to wheat in the studied region. In general, production in barley fields was more sustainable than wheat production because, in view of ecological indices such as amount of energy use and renewable energy consumption, it was more environment-friendly production.

**Keywords:** *Cereal production, mineral fertilizers, energy consumption, energy efficiency.*

### INTRODUCTION

Cereal grains have represented the principal component of the human diet for thousands of years. Their processing comprises an important part of the food production chain, but it is a complex procedure (Zahid *et al.*, 2010; Sahabiet *al.*,

2012). Efficient use of energy helps to achieve increased production and productivity and contributes to the economy, profitability and competitiveness of agricultural sustainability of rural communities. Modern agricultural production of cereals cannot be imagined without the use of fertilizers, particularly in terms of better utilization of biological potential of the yield of growing plants (Săulescu *et al.*, 2005; Zengin *et al.*, 2009; Dawson and Hilton, 2011; Klikocka *et al.*, 2019). Otherwise, the yields would be significantly reduced, regardless of the application of all other agricultural practices such as tillage and crop protection. In current agricultural practice, nutritive value of fertilizers was evaluated on the basis of their impact on crop yield increase and the possibility of improving yield quality (Ryan, 2008). However, with the advancement of all sectors, including agriculture, more and more analyses are dedicated to the energy consumption in the production and application of fertilizers. Also, attention is given exceptionally and other processes in dealing with fertilizers such as transport, storage and handling of it and that also affects on the final energy balance (Ziaei, 2015). Share of agriculture in total energy consumption in some countries is very high, up to 5%. From these values of total energy consumption, the value which is built in fertilizers goes up to 50%. This is one of the key reasons why fertilization needs additional attention and rationalization, with the aim of not only economically effective, but also environmentally friendly production (Ozkan *et al.*, 2004; Alluvione *et al.*, 2011; Dimitrijevi *et al.*, 2020).

The aim of this study was to estimate the energy input and output in wheat and barley production and to analyse the distribution of different energy input utilized in the production. With these data it was possible to evaluate the influence of the energy input through the fertilizer on overall production energy efficiency.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Energy consumption is defined through the energy input in wheat and barley production from the moment of soil tillage and preparation for sowing until wheat and barley grain leave the field (Roberts, 2008). This means that energy inputs for storage and post-harvest processes are not included in the energy balance calculation. The data were collected during the three-year field trials (2013/15) on the estate of PKB Corporation (Agricultural Corporation Belgrade) "7 July" Farm in Jakovo (Belgrade region, 44°43 06.42 N; 20°15 37.68 E, Serbia). It is situated on the left bank of the Sava river into a narrow band. Of the soil types are represented Humic Gleysols and aluvijum Dystric Fluvisols soil. Under the arable land belonging to the farm is 4011 ha that is used for crop production and animal husbandry. As for the seeding structure, the estate is oriented to wheat (535 ha), maize (1472 ha), maize hybrids (109 ha), silage maize (374 ha), barley (200 ha), soybean (553 ha), sunflower hybrids (10 ha), alfalfa (290 ha), sugar beet (400 ha) and meadows (68 ha). In this particular case presented energy investments and the yields of individual plots sown with wheat and barley, three seasons in a row.

The method used for energy efficiency analysis (Ortiz–Cañavate and Hernanz, 1999; Abrishambaf *et al.*, 2019; Ghorbany *et al.*, 2011) is based on the definition of direct and indirect energy inputs, calculation of the energy output for given plant production. Based on these data in wheat and barley production specific energy input, energy output–input ratio, energy productivity and net energy gain were estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} EI &= EIP/Y, \\ ER &= EOP/EIP, \\ EP &= Y/EIP, \\ NEG &= EOP - EIP \end{aligned}$$

where: EI is the specific energy input ( $\text{MJ}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ), EIP is the energy input in the production ( $\text{MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), Y is yield ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), EOP is the energy output of the production ( $\text{MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), EP is energy productivity ( $\text{kg}\cdot\text{MJ}^{-1}$ ) and NEG is net energy gain ( $\text{MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ).

The energy inputs were calculated by multiplying the material input by the referent energy equivalent (Ozkan *et al.*, 2004). The quantities of the material input were obtained directly from the farm managers. The input energy indices in agriculture are divided into 4 groups of energy: direct energy, indirect energy, renewable energy and non-renewable energy (Wang, 2009).

The direct energy requirements are needed for land preparation, cultivation, irrigation, harvesting, post-harvest processing, food production, storage and the transport of agricultural inputs and outputs. Indirect energy needs are in the form of sequestered energy in fertilizers, herbicides, fungicides and insecticides (FAO, 2000). So energy indices are divided into following groups (Moftaker *et al.*, 2010; Abdi *et al.*, 2012): direct energy - human power, diesel fuel, water and electricity; indirect energy - chemicals, fertilizers, seeds and machinery; renewable energy - human power, seeds, manure fertilizers and irrigation water; and non-renewable energy - diesel fuel, electricity, chemical fertilizers, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides and machinery.

The energy outputs are determined based on the yield of cultivated plants upon completion of the production cycle and the corresponding energy equivalents.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Energy inputs and energy outputs in wheat production are shown in Table 1. The values represent the average values of data collected within the three-year period. As it can be seen, average energy consumption was  $22178.04\text{MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ .

Table 1. Energy consumption in wheat production

Energy	Quantity per unit area (ha)	Total energy equivalent (MJunit <sup>-1</sup> )	Percentage of total energy input (%)
<i>Input</i>			
Human labor (h)	7.25	15.70	0.07
Tractor (h)	7.25	664.01	2.76
Combine (h)	0.48	42.36	0.19
Transport (h)	1.70	50.66	0.23
Other Machinery (h)	6.08	381.42	1.56
Diesel fuel (l)	87.38	4176.61	21.07
Nitrogen (kg)	174.59	11599.54	49.23
Phosphate (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) (kg)	38.10	474.01	2.78
Insecticides (kg or l)	0.19	18.89	0.10
Fungicides (kg or l)	0.66	142.56	0.65
Herbicides (kg or l)	0.64	153.11	0.78
Water for irrigation (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.07	0.07	0.01
Seeds (kg)	303.34	4459.10	20.57
Total energy input (MJ)		22178.04	100.00
<i>Outputs</i>			
Seed yield (kg)	4321.97	63532.91	79.82
Straw yield (kg)	1320.39	16504.92	20.18
Total energy output (MJ)		80037.83	100.00
Energy use efficiency		3.61	

\*Source: Author's elaboration based on the questionnaire survey results

Based on the data given in Table 1 we see that the largest amount of energy was consumed over fertilizers. The percentage was 52.01%. The chemical composition of a plot was such that nitrogen was most needed. The fuel energy ranked second with a share of 21.07%. Seeding material within energy input had its share of 20.57% in total energy consumption. Water displayed is the water consumed through the plant protection and therefore percentage is small. There is no irrigation. Based on the energy analysis we have the following results:

$$EI= 5.13 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}; ER= 3.61; EP= 0.19 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{MJ}^{-1}; NEG = 57859.79\text{MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$$

Energy inputs and energy outputs in barley production are shown in Table 2. The values represent the average values of data collected within the three-year period. As it can be seen, average energy consumption was 15921.16 MJ·ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 2. Energy consumption in barley production

Energy	Quantity per unit area (ha)	Total energy equivalent (MJunit <sup>-1</sup> )	Percentage of total energy input (%)
<i>Input</i>			
Human labor (h)	6.66	13.06	0.08
Tractor (h)	5.91	541.84	3.38
Combine (h)	0.85	74.49	0.46
Transport (h)	3.03	90.20	0.56
Other Machinery (h)	3.76	235.75	1.47
Diesel fuel (l)	82.40	3938.88	26.23
Nitrogen (kg)	108.75	7225.57	45.00
Phosphate (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) (kg)	36.66	456.01	2.80
Potassium (K <sub>2</sub> O)	19.56	218.13	1.39
Insecticides (kg or l)	0.22	22.60	0.14
Fungicides (kg or l)	0.64	137.52	0.84
Herbicides (kg or l)	0.62	148.02	0.96
Water (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.18	0.18	0.01
Seeds (kg)	191.74	2818.91	16.68
Total energy input (MJ)		15921.16	100.00
<i>Outputs</i>			
Seed yield (kg)	5781.04	84981.29	86.37
Straw yield (kg)	1561.18	19514.79	13.63
Total energy output (MJ)		104496.08	100.00
Energy use efficiency		6.56	

\*Source: Author's elaboration based on the questionnaire survey results

According to the results presented in Table 2, the share of fertilizers in the energy balance is lower than in wheat production. Percentage was 49.1%. The fuel energy ranked second with a share of 26.23%. Seeding material within energy input had its share of 16.68% in total energy consumption. Water displayed is the water consumed through the plant protection and therefore percentage is small. There is no irrigation. The analysis of energy consumption gave the following results:

$$EI= 2.75 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}; ER= 6.56; EP= 0.36 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{MJ}^{-1}; NEG = 88574.92 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$$

The amount of energy efficiency of barley fields was obtained higher than wheat fields respectively 6.56 and 3.61. This means that per each unit of energy consumption in the fields of wheat and barley, 6.56 and 3.61 yield units is, respectively, achieved. The amount of energy productivity for two different crops has been reported as 0.19 for wheat and 0.36 for barley. Energy productivity is an almost better parameter in comparison to energy efficiency to compare two different crops on the two different plots from the point of the production of a plant. Because difference in energy efficiency can be due to difference in energy

input and yield, it will make it a bit difficult to judge. But energy productivity index calculates the ratio of production yield per kg into consumer energy and better shows the difference between the two crops from same plant family. The amount of specific energy and net energy in wheat production obtained was  $5.13 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $57859.79 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ , respectively; in barley  $2.75 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $88574.92 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ , respectively.

### CONCLUSIONS

Task of agricultural production is not just to produce the food. Above all, it must be a cost-effective, profitable and must meet certain environmental standards. It is necessary to constantly increase soil fertility, particularly through application of fertilizers and then watering, as well through the creation of new varieties and hybrids of plants. We should take into account not only energy efficiency of agricultural production, but also environmental protection.

On the basis of the above research results, it can be concluded that the reduction of use of mineral fertilizers effects on the yield decline. In this case, barley production is more efficient from different aspects of energy consumption compared to wheat in the studied region. In general, production in barley fields was more sustainable than wheat production because, in view of ecological indices such as amount of energy use and renewable energy consumption, it was more environment-friendly production. The fact is that without the use of fertilizers we have not sustainable production. Of course, this practice should not be abolished, but it should be adjusted to the optimal value of application. Losses are not extremely high, production will be energy cost-effective and environmental impact will be significant in a positive way. Energy should be transferred to investments at irrigation, better machinery management technique, integrating the legume crops into the rotation and some other good agricultural practices. All of this may be the options to increase the energy use efficiency of cereal production and way to reduce the environmental pollution.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research work was fulfilled and given paper was prepared with the support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, Agreement of the realization and financing of scientific research work of scientific research organizations in 2021. No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200216.

### REFERENCES

- Abdi R., Taki M., Akbarpur M. (2012). An Analysis of Energy input- output and Emissions of Greenhouse Gases from Agricultural Productions. *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 6 (3), 73-79.
- AbrishambafO., Faria P., Vale Z., Corchado J.M. (2019). Energy Scheduling Using Decision Trees and Emulation: Agriculture Irrigation with Run-of-the-River Hydroelectricity and a PV Case Study. *Energies*, 12, 3987.

- Alluvione F., Moretti B., Sacco D.; Grignani, C. (2011). EUE (Energy Use Efficiency) of Cropping Systems for a Sustainable Agriculture. *Energy*, 36, 4468–4481.
- Dawson C.J., Hilton J. (2011). Fertilizer Availability in a Resource-limited World: Production and Recycling of Nitrogen and Phosphorus. *Food Policy*, 36, S14–S22.
- Dimitrijevi A., Gavrilovi M., Ivanovi S., Mileusni Z., Miodragovi R., Todorovi S. (2020). Energy Use and Economic Analysis of Fertilizer Use in Wheat and Sugar Beet Production in Serbia. *Energies* 13, no. 9: 2361. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13092361>.
- FAO (2000). The Energy and Agricultural Nexus. Environment and Natural Resources Working Paper no. 4, Rome.
- Ghorbani R., Mondani F., Amirmoradi S., Feizi H., Khorramdel S., Teimouri M. (2011). A Case Study of Energy Use and Economical Analysis of Irrigated and Dryland Wheat Production Systems. *Appl. Energy*, 88, 283–288.
- Klikocka H., Kasztelan A., Zakrzewska A., Wylupek T., Szostak B., Skwaryło-Bednarz B. (2019). The Energy Efficiency of the Production and Conversion of Spring Triticale Grain into Bioethanol. *Agronomy*, 9, 423.
- Mobtaker H. G., Keyhani A., Mohammadi A., Rafiee S., Akram A. (2010). Sensitivity Analysis of Energy Inputs for Barley Production in Hamedan Province of Iran. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 137, 367–372.
- Ortiz-Cañavate J., Hernanz J.L. (1999). Energy Analysis and Saving. Energy for Biological Systems, *CIGR Handbook*, Vol. 5.
- Ozkan B., Akcaoz H., Fert C. (2004). Energy Input–output Analysis in Turkish Agriculture. *Renewable Energy*, 29, 39–51.
- Roberts T. (2008). Improving Nutrient Use Efficiency. *Turk J Agric For*, 32, 177–182.
- Ryan J. (2008): A Perspective on Balanced Fertilization in the Mediterranean Region. *Turk J Agric For*, 32, 79–89.
- Sahabi H., Feizi H., Amirmoradi S. (2012). Which Crop Production System is More Efficient in Energy Use: Wheat or Barley? *Environment Development and Sustainability*, 12. [10.1007/s10668-012-9402-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-012-9402-4).
- Săulescu N.N., Ittu G., Mustăpea P., Simion G. (2005). Improved Nitrogen Response as an Objective in Wheat Breeding. *Rom. Agric. Res.*, 22, 1–5.
- Wang Y.W. (2009). Sustainable Agricultural Practices: Energy Inputs and Outputs, Pesticide, Fertilizer and Greenhouse Gas Management. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*, 18(4), 498–500.
- Zahid H., Azam K. M., Irfan M. (2010). Water Energy and Economic Analysis of Wheat Production under Raised Bed and Conventional Irrigation Systems: A Case Study From a Semi-arid Area of Pakistan. *Soil Tillage Research*, 109, 61–67.
- Zengin M., Gökmeni F., Yazici M.A., Gezgin S. (2009). Effects of Potassium, Magnesium and Sulphur Containing Fertilizers on Yield and Quality of Sugar Beets (*Beta vulgaris L.*). *Turk. J. Agric. For.* 33, 495–502.
- Ziaei, S.M., Mazlounzadeh, S.M., Jabbari M. (2015). A Comparison of Energy Use and Productivity of Wheat and Barley (Case Study). *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, Volume 14, Issue 1, Pages 19–25.